श्री हुक्मदेव नारायण यादव]

ने भी एक बार जोश में आकर बयान दिया कि बैंक के अधिकारी किसी भी राजनीतिक दबाव में नहीं आवें । पार्लियामेंट का मैम्बर, श्री जनार्दन पुजारी और मंत्री या कोई दूसरा मंत्री अगर किसी बैंक के अधिकारी को कह दें कि जरा यह गरीब आदमी है, इसको भैंस के लिए, इसको गाय खरीदने के लिए, सूअर पालने के लिए, एक बैलगाड़ी के लिए, रिक्शा के लिए, साईकिल के लिए कर्जा दे दो तो वह पोलिटीकल प्रैशर हो जायेगा । लेकिन सेठिया को 200 करोड़ रुपया मिल जायेगा । आपसे पूछेगा भी नहीं ? लेकिन यह भी गलत बात है । सार्वजनिक जिन्दगी का तकाजा है, जनता ने हमें अगर भारत की संसद् में भेजा है तो क्या हम पैरवी नहीं करेंगे, हम दबाव नहीं देंगे ? लेकिन यह हमारा हक बनता है कि अगर कोई गरीब आदमी को रोज़ी-रोटी के लिए बैंक से कर्जा चाहिए नियम के तहत बैंक से किसी हरिजन-आदिवासी को कर्जा चाहिए और अगर बैंक उसे नहीं दे रहा है तो यह हमारा फर्ज बनता है कि उसके लिए हम पैरवी करें । पैरवी ही नहीं करेंगे, बल्कि जो बैंक के अधिकारी हैं, उसके घर में घुस कर सत्याग्रह करेंगे, आन्दोलन करेंगे कि उस गरीब का हक उसे मिलना चाहिए । उस गरीब को रोजी-रोटी के लिए पूंजी मिलनी चाहिए । लेकिन इस समय हालत यह है कि वह उसे नहीं मिलेगा । आपका उस पर नियन्त्रण नहीं चलेगा आप अगर उस गरीब के लिए कुछ करना चाहते हैं तो भी कुछ नहीं होगा । इसलिए अन्त में मैं अपनी बात समाप्त करते हुए क्योंकि घंटी भी बज गई है, मुझे भी अब आपके आदेश का पालन करना चाहिए, मैं अपनी बात समाप्त करते हुए माननीय जनार्दन पुजारी जी आपसे निवेदन करूंगा, माननीय वित्त मंत्री विश्वनाथ प्रताप सिंह जी ने और आपने जो एक राज्य मंत्री की हैसियत से कठोर कदम उठाए हैं, उसके लिए तो मैं कहूंगा कि हमारे राजनीतिक मतभेद तो हो सकते हैं, लेकिन कठोरता और दृढ़ता के साथ आप जो कदम उठाते हैं, हम उनकी सराहना करेंगे, उनका समर्थन करेंगे . . . (समय की घंटी) . . .

अंत में हम आपसे मांग करते हैं कि बैंक के ऊपर आप पूरा नियंत्रण रखिए । यहां पब्लिक का पैसा है और एक-एक पैसे की आप जांच कराइए । आपकी 48,000 शाखाएं हैं बैंकों की, आप उनकी जांच नहीं कर सकते हैं, इसलिए आप कोई इसके लिए समिति बनाइए, जो जांच करे इस पब्लिक के पैसे की । इसमें हो रही चोरी, जालसाजी, डकैती, फरेबी, सबको पकड़िए और कठोर कार्य-वाही करिए, जिससे जनता जान सके बैंक कैसे चलता है और बैंक का पैसा किसका है । समाजवादी समाज का यही तकाजा है । धन्यवाद ।

5.00 P.M.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This re-solution will spill over and **will** be discussed on 23rd August, 1985. Now Mr. Janardhan Poojari.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Notifications .of] the Ministry ot Finance (Department of Revenue) and related papers

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDADHAN POOJARI): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table **a** copy each in (English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), together with Explanatory Memo-randa thereon:—

(i) Notification No. 183/85-CE, dated the 9th August, 1985 restricting the benefit of exemption available to goods falling under Item 16A of the Central Excise Tariff under the Notification No. 85|85-CE, dated the 17th March, 1985, to rubber products (other than tread rubber and camel back).

(ii) Notification No. 184|85CE, dated the 9th August, 1985, exempting tread rubbed and camel back falling under tariff item 16A of Central Excise Tariff, up to **a**

value not exceeding Rs. 7.5 lakhs cleared, for home consumption, by or on behalf of a manufacturer from one or more factories, from one factory by or behalf of one or more manufacturers, in any financial year, from so much of the duty of excise leviable thereon as is in excess of 12% ad *valorem* subject to the conditions specified in the notification.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-1299| 85 for (i) and (ii)]

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Mysterious disappearance of two Merchant ships in the Bay of Bengal—Contd.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We will take up the calling attention.

SHRI N. E. BALARAM (Kerala): Madam, Deputy Chairman, I was listening to the statement made by the Hon'ble Minister on the question of disappearance of two cargo ships in the Bay of Bengal.

At the very outset, I must say that I am not satisfied with the statement because it does not give us a full picture of the whole incident. Not only that, it does not dispel so many doubts that are there in the minds of ordinary people in the country. After reading the newspaper report about the disappearance of these two cargo ships, I presume to think that it is not an ordinary matter. According to the newspaper reports, there is an element of fraud in all these episodes. According to me, the proprietor of that particular Shipping Company Mr. Maini has issue a statement soon after the incident stating the reasons for disappearance of those two ships. The two ships were sunk by the clouds and the tidal waves. In another theory, he said that this is due to a collision between the two ships but soon after the statement, the General Manager of the Shipping Company contradicted that statement saying that there were no possibilty for such an accident because there were four ships during that period at the same area on the same day. So, the theory advanced by the General Manager that the ships were sunk by the tidal waves or by the cloud burst were not true. That was the statement made by the General Manager of the shipping company. Mr. Chakra-barti. He has issued an open statement. So far that statement has not been contradicted by anybody. So I have my own doubts: something is missing in the whole episode. Not only that, according to the newspaper reports, the meteorological station at Calcutta stated that on that particular day, the sea was not in a very bad condition. There were no large-scale bad weather conditions at all. And we learn from newspaper reports that these, two ships had got six radio transmitter systems. Inspite of that, there was no message given that the ships were in distress. So, from the reports that have appeared in the press, what I understand is that there is some fraud in this whole affair. That is the main thing to which I want to draw the attention of the hon. Minister, of course, the minister has said in para 10:

> "The International Maritime Bureau, London, had also been approached to carry out investigations to determine if there is a *prima facie* case of fraud in the matter".

I have no definite proof, but after reading the newspaper reports, I think some fraud is there. Otherwise how can the owners issue a statement the next day saying that the loss of the ships was due to cloudburst or tidal waves? And it was contradicted by the General Manager of the Shipping company. These are contradictory statements. On this basis, I think there is a *prima facie* case of fraud in this. The Minister says that they have asked the International Maritime Bureau, London, to investi-